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ABSTRACT

In the province of Québec, approximately 12 000 ha of fast-growing poplar plantations are managed by industrials, while small private landowners have planted only 1000 ha. Most of these poplar plantations are established on clearcut forest sites (approx. 11 000 ha). What are the yields of these hybrid poplar plantations? In this article, available yield data are presented and discussed in the context of a sustainable forest zoning management system. In southern Québec, three factors are highly correlated to yield for clones of various parentages: NO3 supply rate in riparian soils, elevation (or climate) and soil P availability in abandoned farmland soils. Many Quebec forest sites, particularly in the boreal shield ecozone, have acidic soils and harsh climate, with low mineralization rates. They generally cannot fulfill the very high nutrient requirements of hybrid poplars. Within a forest zoning management system, hybrid poplar plantations and agroforestry should be located in priority in southern Québec landscapes, with low remaining natural forest cover, and where intensive agriculture is the dominant land-use. This strategy will increase biodiversity and the provision of ecosystem services. Elsewhere, intensive trembling aspen regeneration silviculture could be a sustainable alternative to forest conversion into hybrid poplar plantations.
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RÉSUMÉ

Au Québec, il existe approximativement 12 000 ha de plantations de peuplier hybride aménagés par l’industrie et 1000 ha aménagés par des propriétaires privés. La plupart de ces plantations (environ 11 000 ha) ont été aménagées sur des sites forestiers suite à une coupe totale. Quel est le rendement de ces plantations? Dans cet article, les données de rendement disponibles sont présentées et discutées dans une perspective de zonage d’aménagement forestier durable. Dans le sud du Québec, trois facteurs fortement corrélés au rendement de différents clones non apparentés ont été identifiés: le flux de NO3 dans les sols riverains, l’altitude (climat) et le P disponible dans le sol des terres agricoles abandonnées. Plusieurs sites forestiers du Québec, particulièrement dans l’écozone du bouclier boréal, ont un sol acide, un climat rigoureux et un faible taux de minéralisation. Ces sites ne pourront pas satisfaire les exigences nutritionnelles élevées des peupliers hybrides. Dans une perspective de zonage d’aménagement forestier durable, les plantations et les systèmes agroforestiers de peuplier devraient, en priorité, être établis dans le sud du Québec dans les paysages d’agriculture intensive, là où le couvert forestier résiduel est faible. Cette stratégie permettra d’augmenter la biodiversité et la production de services écologiques. Ailleurs, la silviculture intensive du peuplier faux-tremble basée sur la régénération naturelle pourrait être une approche alternative et durable à la conversion de forêts en plantations de peuplier hybride.
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Introduction
The Government of Québec is in the process of implementing a forest zoning management system (Gouvernement du Québec 2008) (i.e., conservation zones, ecosystemic management zones and small intensive production zones; Hunter 1990). Legislation has confirmed this new approach through the Loi sur l’aménagement durable du territoire forestier (Québec 2011a). It appears that the solid arguments made in favour of forest zoning by Canadian researchers have been considered by Québec’s decision makers (Binkley 1999; Messier et al. 2003, 2009; Montigny and MacLean 2006; Carmean 2007; Côté et al. 2010; Hartmann et al. 2010).

A key component of the zoning approach lies in the ability of land managers and landowners to highly intensify timber production on small portions of the landbase to make up for the harvests lost through increases in protected areas and the application of ecosystem management (Binkley 1999). Therefore, it is paramount that investments that are made to develop forestry plantations result in high increases, ideally at locations situated near transformation facilities and markets.

Moreover, in a globalized market, an effective shift to intensive production may be necessary to sustain Canada’s competitiveness, as many tropical countries have a much more favourable climate for wood production (Park and Wilson 2007). For example, yields in tropical countries such as Brazil, South Africa, Uruguay, Congo and Zimbabwe can reach up to 40 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ on five- to 15-year rotations with Eucalyptus grandis W. Hill ex Maiden and eucalyptus hybrids (Cossalter and Pye-Smith 2003).

In Québec, fast-growing hybrid poplar plantations have often been proposed as a viable solution for producing high yields in temperate and boreal zones in areas dedicated to intensive production (Messier et al. 2003, Bilodeau-Gauthier et al. 2011). In 2003, the anticipated yields were 14 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ on average sites, and 20 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ on the best sites of southern Québec (Messier et al. 2003). In the boreal region, they were 12 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ on the best sites and 10 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ on average sites (Messier et al. 2003).

Given these attractive potential yields, many forest companies have since adopted hybrid poplars to increase forest yield on private and public lands. Many reasons have motivated these decisions, depending on regional and local contexts, which include a reduction in allowable cut in native poplar stands (Bureau du forestier en chef 2006), a reduction of operation costs by reducing distance between the resource and industries, and an increase in overall forest productivity.

Because forest companies and private landowners have only recently established hybrid poplar plantations in Québec, real data on the yields of these plantations are scarce. These data are needed to assess the economic benefits of selecting high site quality and improved genotypes (Petri- nović et al. 2009). In what regions or on what type of sites do hybrid poplars offer the best opportunities in terms of yield? This information is essential in order to identify areas of forest management intensification (zones of intensive production) since these zones will eventually have a special status in order to prioritize wood production as a management objective (Québec 2011a).

In this article, we present a brief portrait of hybrid poplar cultivation in Québec, followed by the data available on the yield of hybrid poplar plantations (excluding short-rotation coppice) in different Québec regions and plantation site types (abandoned farmland, clearcut forests, riparian buffers). Based on these data, we discuss what could be the best strategies for poplar cultivation in Québec, with the perspective of implementing a sustainable forest zoning management system. In this paper, the term “hybrid poplar” refers to trees of the genus Populus that have been improved through interspecific crossing. The term “afforested plantations” refers to plantations established on agricultural land, which includes abandoned farmland.

Natural Habitats of Poplar Species Used in the Québec Breeding Program
The Québec Ministère des Ressources naturelles et de la Faune has been managing a poplar breeding program since 1969 (Riemenschneider et al. 2001). The principal objective of this breeding program is to develop high yielding and disease resistant / tolerant poplar hybrids for the different ecological regions of Québec (Périnet 2007). A list of about 40 recommended clones exists, and all of these clones originate from hybrids of poplar species from the Tacamahaca and Algeirs sections (Périnet 2007). All of the recommended clones come from five parental species: Populus deltoides, P. nigra, P. balsamifera, P. trichocarpa and P. maximowiczii (Périnet et al. 2008).

Populus deltoides Bartr. ex Marsh. (Eastern cottonwood, Algeirs section) generally grows in rich humid bottomlands and riparian corridors of North American temperate ecosystems, in pure stands or in association with other bottomland or pioneer species (Farrar 2006). The fastest growth rates for this species are observed on silty and sandy loams of riparian areas that are seasonally flooded, such as those of the Mississippi Valley in the USA (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008) and the St.
Lawrence Valley in Eastern Canada (Farrar 2006). Although it is mostly found in bottomlands, Eastern cottonwood can also colonize upland habitats and disturbed sites where mineral soil is exposed (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008).

*Populus nigra* L. (black poplar, *Aigeiros* section) is native to Europe, North Africa and western Asia, where it is most often associated with humid sandy soils of river floodplains (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). Upland exposed soil, such as found in wastelands can also be colonized by this aggressive pioneer species (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008).

*Populus balsamifera* L. (balsam poplar, *Tacamahaca* section) is native to North America, where it is distributed coast to coast at high northern latitudes, reaching 68° to 69° N (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). It is therefore the most cold-hardy poplar in North America. Balsam poplar most often colonizes fluvial valleys and rich and humid bottomlands (Farrar 2006). It also grows on moist or wet soils bordering smaller streams, lakes, wetlands and depressions (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). In those habitats balsam poplar grows in pure stands or in association with other boreal species found on humid soils (e.g., elm [*Ulmus* spp.], willow [*Salix* spp.], spruces [*Picea* spp.], paper birch (*Betula papyrifera* Marsh.) (Farrar 2006). Balsam poplar can still be found on drier sites and, as a pioneer species, it will invade disturbed sites whether riparian or upland (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008).

*Populus maximowiczii* A. Henry (Japanese poplar, *Tacamahaca* section) is a pioneer species native to northeastern Asia, where it is found at low to mid elevation (to 2000 m) in China, Russia, Korea and Japan (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). Typical habitats of the species are river gravel bars, fluvial plains and low terraces, although it can be found on volcanic ashes on low elevation slopes (Haruki and Tsuyuzaki 2001). Japanese poplar is also very cold-hardy, as it can grow in Siberia (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008).

*Populus trichocarpa* Torr. & A. Gray (Black cottonwood, *Tacamahaca* section) grows in Pacific coastal regions at elevations under 1500 m, from Baja California to Alaska, but also inland in northwestern North America (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). Black cottonwood usually grows on moist alluvial, moraine, and glacial outwash soils in pure stands or with other low-elevation broadleaved species (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). In interior habitats, it can be found up to 2000 m of elevation along valleys and canyons (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). However, best growth occurs at low-elevation sites influenced by moist Pacific air (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). In those coastal habitats black cottonwood can reach nearly 4 m in diameter and 60 m in height, being the largest poplar of all (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). These five poplar species share an important characteristic: they reach their largest size at low elevation and in rich bottomland, riparian or coastal sites. They can also colonize upland habitats where mineral soil is exposed, but this is not their prime habitat. They also grow in both pure stands and mixed stands with other pioneer species. Therefore, hybrids resulting from the crosses between these five parental species are expected to be fast-growing in the rich bottomland and riparian sites of Québec, but also to be managed as pure stands. It is also well known that hybrid poplars resulting from the crosses of those parental species have very high nutrient requirements for producing high yields (Heilmann and Norby 1998).

**A Portrait of Hybrid Poplar Cultivation in Québec**

Significant hybrid poplar cultivation began nearly 15 years ago in Québec with forest companies that are now actively managing poplar plantations. Besides forest companies, some small private landowners have also been involved in hybrid poplar cultivation in Québec.

Since 2001, 1 to 1.5 million hybrid poplars have been delivered annually in Québec to establish new plantations, but also to create some agroforestry systems (riparian buffers, shelterbelts, windbreaks, intercropping systems) (Fig. 1). An important decline in hybrid poplar deliveries is obvious since 2007 (Fig. 1). This is not surprising given the economic recession that strongly affected the United States economy at the end of 2007. As with other Canadian provinces, Québec’s forest sector is strongly dependant on the US housing market.

![Fig. 1. Evolution of hybrid poplar deliveries from nurseries (nb) to different users: industries that own private land (private – industries), small private landowners (private – landowners), industries operating in public forests (public forest) and all other users including watershed organisations, scientists, agro-environmental consultants (other users). Data obtained from the DPSP, MRNF.](image)
(Natural Resources Canada 2011). The recent collapse of the US housing market, along with the sharp decline in newsprint sales and the rise of the Canadian dollar (Natural Resources Canada 2011) are all potential explanations for the decreased investment in fast-growing plantations in Québec (Fig. 1).

Another important fact revealed by Fig. 1 is that the vast majority of hybrid poplar plantations are established by the forest industry, whether on private or public land. Hybrid poplar deliveries to small private landowners represent only a very small fraction of total deliveries over the last 10 years.

Overall, approximately 12 000 ha of hybrid poplar plantations are managed by industrials, while small private landowners have only planted around 1000 ha (Morissette 2012). Most industrial poplar plantations are established on clearcut forest sites (approx. 11 000 ha) and most plantations owned by small private landowners are established on abandoned farmlands. Compared to other regions of the world, hybrid poplar cultivation in Québec is marginal. For example, China has 4 900 000 ha of hybrid poplar plantations, India has 1 000 000 ha and France has 230 000 ha (Ball et al. 2005).

Current legislation and regulations are major obstacles to hybrid poplar cultivation development in Québec, particularly on private land in agricultural areas. The establishment of small plantations on private abandoned farmland has been encouraged through financial incentives with programs such as Forêt 2020 (Ménétrier et al. 2005). Nonetheless, in the most intensive agricultural regions of southern Québec, it is very difficult to convert a fertile abandoned farmland into a tree plantation with the financial support of the Québec government. Approvals from both the “Union des producteurs agricoles” (UPA) and the provincial Ministry of Agriculture (MAPAQ) are required in order to receive any financial support and planting material (Marchand and Masse 2007). This is a major obstacle to poplar afforestation in agricultural areas. It is also impossible to get financial support for the conversion of a currently used crop field into a tree plantation.

Furthermore, in some regions of Québec, there is a moratorium on the establishment of pure hybrid poplar plantations. This is the case in the Lanaudière region, where only mixed plantations that combine hybrid poplars with broadleaved or coniferous species are financed by the regional forest agency (Agence régionale de mise en valeur des forêts privées de Lanaudière 2011). Compared to pure hybrid poplar plantations, these mixed plantation systems often have additional operational constraints, but also higher establishment and tending costs (J. Moreau, Agence régionale de mise en valeur des forêts privées de Lanaudière, personal communication, 11/09/2011).

In addition, from an economic perspective, land value in Québec’s warmest regions and silvicultural costs are among the highest in Canada (Yemshanov and McKenney 2008). Silvicultural costs of $3245 per ha are reported for hybrid poplar afforestation on agricultural land of Québec, while these costs ranged from $2450 to $2825 per ha for other provinces (Yemshanov and McKenney 2008). Much higher costs are reported for poplar plantations established on abandoned farmland and clearcut forest sites. Poplar plantations established on abandoned farmland in Québec may cost $4600 per ha, while forest site plantations may cost up to $5500 per ha (Dancauce 2008).

The no-subsidy policy for chemical weed control on private land and the prohibited use of herbicides in public forests can be partly blamed for high costs of tending operations (Fortier and Messier 2006). Multiple disking treatments (sometimes combined with plastic mulching) are therefore needed in the establishment phase of afforested plantations. On clearcut forest sites intensive mechanical site preparation (mounding, disking or scarification) is used, and repeated brushsaw cutting is the main treatment used for competing vegetation control. These silvicultural treatments are mainly financed with public funds.

In short, high-quality sites located on prime agricultural land are very difficult to afforest with hybrid poplars because of these legal and economic constraints (Marchand and Masse 2007, Yemshanov and McKenney 2008). Consequently, in Québec, hybrid poplars have been mostly planted on forest clearcut sites and poor-quality abandoned farmland sites, mainly with poplar clones that have one or both parents from the *Tacamahaca* (balsam poplar) section (Périnet 2007).

**Yield of Hybrid Poplar Plantations and Implications for a Sustainable Forest Zoning Management System**

Based on the available yield data presented in Table 1, three major observations can be made concerning yields of hybrid poplar plantations in Québec:

- Riparian buffers and abandoned farmland plantations have very high yield potentials in warmer climates and on fertile sites.
- Yield variability is very high on both abandoned farmland and riparian buffer sites.
- Yields on forest clearcut sites are generally low.

These observations are based on a small number of studies, in both experimental and operational plantations (Delagrange and Lorenzetti 2008, Ménétrier 2008, Moreau 2008, Labrecque 2009, Duchemin and Ménétrier 2010, Fortier et al. 2010a, Lapointe 2010, Bigué et al. 2011, Bildeau-Gauthier et al. 2011, Benomar et al. 2012, Truax et al. 2012). Some plantation types or regions are under-represented in Table 1. Additional data need to be collected to provide a clearer portrait. Furthermore, the yield of many young plantations may increase in the future. Still, the general trends that emerge from the available data need to be discussed immediately, especially when a forest zoning management system is being implemented in Québec.

It is important to mention that plantations presented in Table 1 received adequate silvicultural treatments in terms of site preparation and weed control. On abandoned farmland sites, ploughing followed by disking was generally used to prepare sites, while competing vegetation was repressed with herbicide, soil cultivation (disking) or plastic mulching. No site preparation was done in riparian buffers of the study by Fortier et al. (2010a) and a single localized herbicide treatment (1 m² per tree) was completed during the planting year. On forest clearcut sites, disking, scarification or mounding was used to prepare sites, while mechanical brushsaw cutting was used for vegetation control. Most plantations presented in Table 1 were not fertilized except the ones reported in the study of Delagrange and Lorenzetti (2008).

Finally, concerning clone selection, all clones used in the studies reported in Table 1 were recommended for their superior growth and disease tolerance according to genetic selection trials in different ecological regions of Québec (Périnet et al. 2008).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest vegetation zone</th>
<th>Plantation site</th>
<th>City or location</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Density (stems per ha)</th>
<th>Yield variation (m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mean Yield (m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>Riparian Bromptonville</td>
<td>Estrie</td>
<td>Abandoned</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,222</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>34.6-49.6</td>
<td>(Fortier et al. 2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bromptonville</td>
<td>Estrie</td>
<td>Abandoned and cultivated</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>14.0-26.0</td>
<td>(Lapointe 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned St-Isidore-de-Clifton</td>
<td>Estrie</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,222</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>12.3-20.8</td>
<td>(Moreau 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bromptonville</td>
<td>Estrie</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>10.5-20.8</td>
<td>(Fortier et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned Kingsbury</td>
<td>Estrie</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>9.2-14.1</td>
<td>(Minier et al. 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned Lavaltrie</td>
<td>Lanaudière</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>8.2-11.3</td>
<td>(Moreau 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned St-Isidore-de-Clifton</td>
<td>Estrie</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.0-6.0</td>
<td>(Lapointe 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned Bedford</td>
<td>Montérégie</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.0-4.9</td>
<td>(Truax et al. 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned Seigneurie Nicolas Rioux</td>
<td>Bas-St-Laurent</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.0-14.0</td>
<td>(Delagrange and Lorenzetti 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned Ste-Catherine-de-Hatley</td>
<td>Estrie</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4.0-10.0</td>
<td>(Truax et al. 2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned Abandoned Notre-Dame-de-la-Salette</td>
<td>Outaouais</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0-9.0</td>
<td>(Delagrange and Lorenzetti 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned Melbourne</td>
<td>Estrie</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.7-15.9</td>
<td>(Fortier et al. 2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned Ste-Catherine-de-Hatley</td>
<td>Estrie</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.3-5.7</td>
<td>(Fortier et al. 2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned Notre-Dame-de-la-Salette</td>
<td>Outaouais</td>
<td>Broadleaf</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3-3.4</td>
<td>(Benomar et al. 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned 3 sites (2 abandoned / 1 clearcut)</td>
<td>Abitibi-Témiscamingue</td>
<td>Boreal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.1-5.4</td>
<td>(Truax et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned 3 sites (2 abandoned / 1 clearcut)</td>
<td>Abitibi-Témiscamingue</td>
<td>Boreal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.2-1.9</td>
<td>(Benomar et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abandoned 3 sites (2 abandoned / 1 clearcut)</td>
<td>Abitibi-Témiscamingue</td>
<td>Boreal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2-0.8</td>
<td>(Benomar et al. 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clearcut 8 sites</td>
<td>Lac-St-Jean</td>
<td>Boreal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(Bilodeau et al. 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clearcut 6 areas</td>
<td>Outaouais</td>
<td>Broadleaf and mixed</td>
<td>4-10</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(Bilodeau-Gauthier et al. 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Volume yield data for various hybrid poplar plantations in Quebec**

- **Mean Yield**: Volume yield in m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹.
- **Variation**: Yield variation associated with clone selection.
- **References**: Citations for the data sources.

*Riparian = Riparian buffer, Abandoned = Abandoned farmland, Cultivated = Cultivated field, Clearcut = Clearcut forest.

Yield was calculated by putting the mean DBH value obtained in the best treatment of Bilodeau et al. (2011) (mean DBH = 3.4 cm) in the general volume equation given in Truax et al. (2012). This volume was then multiplied by the different densities (400, 1111 or 10,000 stems per ha) and survival rates, and divided by 6 years.

Yield was calculated by putting the mean DBH value obtained in the best treatment of Bilodeau et al. (2011) (mean DBH = 3.4 cm) in the general volume equation given in Truax et al. (2012). This volume was then multiplied by the different densities (400, 1111 or 10,000 stems per ha) and survival rates, and divided by 6 years.
Hybrid poplars in sites with rich soils and a warm climate: Where high yield meets high ecosystem services

After only six years, yields as high as 39.6 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ were measured in a riparian agroforestry system (2222 stems per ha) along the Bromptonville, a site located at low elevation (140 m) (Fortier et al. 2010a). This indicates that riparian sites, in warm temperate climates, located near fertilized crop fields or pastures of southern Québec have an exceptional potential for hybrid poplar cultivation. In fact, yields measured at Bromptonville are comparable to eucalyptus plantations in tropical countries (40 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ after five to 15 years) (Cossalter and Pye-Smith 2003), even if the growing season is much shorter in southern Québec. Comparable yields have also been reported in other northern temperate locations such as in southern Sweden (31 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ on the best site) (Christersson 2010).

The particular design and landscape position of riparian poplar agroforestry systems may be partly responsible for the high yield observed on four of the five riparian buffer sites (Table 1). In those systems water availability is high during the entire growing season and nutrients are continuously migrating from adjacent fertilized crop fields or pastures through surface runoff and subsurface flow. These streamside plantations also receive periodic nutrients inputs in the form of silt deposition when they are flooded during storm flow events or following snow melt (J. Fortier and B. Truax, personal observation). In addition, high light availability in narrow buffer strips may also be an important factor to sustain high yields considering the shade intolerance of poplars. The relatively high stem density (2222 to 3200 stems per ha) used on riparian buffer sites also partly explains the very high yield achieved in a short time period (five to six years) (Table 1).

Very high yields were also observed on the bottomland sites of Bedford (22.4 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ at eight years), in the Montérégie region, and of Lavaltrie (16.4 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ at six years), in the Lanaudière region, despite their high density of stems (833 stems per ha) and young age (Moreau 2008, Truax et al. 2012) (Table 1). Both of these plantations were established on recently abandoned farmland, located in the St. Lawrence Valley, which is dominated by intensive agricultural land use because of its favourable climate and high soil fertility (Robitaille and Saucier 1998). The highest yields obtained in southern Québec are comparable to those obtained on high-quality agricultural sites in southern Ontario, were the best clones produced 29 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ after 12 years (Zsuffa et al. 1977).

On agricultural sites, the very high yield variability of poplar plantations is also evident in Table 1. For example, the poplar buffer at Bromptonville was 36 times more productive than the plantation at Stornoway (450 m elevation), which was located on a low-fertility abandoned farmland site (Truax et al. 2012). Poplar growth is highly sensitive to both soil fertility and climate (Tabbush and Beaton 1998, Stanturf et al. 2001, Coleman et al. 2006). In southern Québec, three factors were highly correlated to yield: (1) NO$_3$ supply rate in riparian soils was positively correlated to yield ($R^2 = 0.58$) (Fortier et al. 2010a); (2) elevation was negatively correlated to yield ($R^2 = 0.40$ to 0.77, depending on the clone) (Truax et al. 2012), and (3) soil P availability was positively correlated to yield ($R^2 = 0.64$ to 0.87, depending on the clone) (Truax et al. 2012) on abandoned farmland soils. Based on these indicators, but also using well known site characteristics for optimal hybrid poplar cultivation (e.g., soil depth >1m, loamy soil texture, good drainage, pH 5 to 7.5, high growing degree days) (Boysen and Strobl 1991, Stanturf et al. 2001), site suitability maps could be easily created. These types of maps already exist for the Canadian Prairies (Schroeder et al. 2003, Joss et al. 2008) and are highly needed in Québec. Already-existing maps of agricultural potential and soil surveys (Cann and Lajoie 1943, MAPAQ 2005) could easily be used has a starting point.

In addition, the results of Truax et al. (2012) clearly show that all hybrid types studied (D×N, T×D, N×M, M×B, DN×M) are very sensitive to a decrease in soil fertility, which contradicts the notion that hybrid poplars related to the *Tacamahaca* (balsam poplar) section are less sensitive to lower soil fertility (Riemenschneider et al. 2001, Périsnet 2007). However, *P. maximowiczii* hybrids are clearly better adapted to cooler climates, particularly the M×B hybrid, which has both of its parental species in the *Tacamahaca* section (Truax et al. 2012). Therefore, interesting yields were observed with N×M, M×B and DN×M hybrids, on cooler but nevertheless fertile sites of southern Québec, such as at La Patrie (440 m elevation) (Truax et al. 2012) (Table 1). However, on boreal sites with inadequate soil texture (heavy clay) or fertility, and short growing season, M×B hybrids were not very productive (Bilodeau-Gauthier et al. 2011, Benomar et al. 2012) (Table 1). Thus, in the boreal zone, even when very high stem density (10 000 stems per ha) is used, marginal yields (3.4 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$) are still observed after six years (Benomar et al. 2012).

As is the case for the five parental species used in the Québec poplar breeding program, all hybrids recently studied reached their largest size and yield on low-elevation and rich bottomland sites, or riparian sites (Fortier et al. 2010a, Truax et al. 2012). Consequently, clone selection alone cannot compensate for inadequate site selection and only moderate- to high-fertility sites should be afforested with poplars, and low-fertility sites should be avoided altogether. Although many abandoned farmland sites exist in different Québec regions (Vouligny and Gariepy 2008), it may not be the majority that will satisfy high poplar nutritional requirements and produce high yields. One good reason for this is that poor soils and/or poor climate have often been the reasons for abandonment.

Besides high yields, poplar afforestation and agroforestry in intensive agriculture landscapes could produce a wide array of ecosystem services and directly contribute to biodiversity conservation (Brocherhoff et al. 2008, Chazdon 2008), while creating local economic opportunities (Rockwood et al. 2004). Ecosystem services and goods (Costanza et al. 1998) provided by poplar afforestation on agricultural land include: climate regulation trough C sequestration in soil and biomass (Zabek and Prescott 2006, Fang et al. 2007, Arevalo et al. 2011); flood control (Perry et al. 2001); wind protection (Isbrandts and Karnosky 2001); erosion control (Updegraff et al. 2004, Zaimes et al. 2004); soil formation (Tufockiuoglou et al. 2001, Mao et al. 2010); long-term nutrient storage (Tufockiuoglou et al. 2003, Kelly et al. 2007, Fortier et al. 2010b); increased N immobilisation in riparian soils (Schimel et al. 1998, Schweitzer et al. 2008); groundwater N retention (Haycock and Pinay 1993); bioremediation of soil containing pesticides (Burken and Schnoor 1997, Jordahl et
al. 1997); shade-intolerant exotic plant control (Fortier et al. 2011) and refugia for local biodiversity (Christian 1997, Lust et al. 2001, Weih et al. 2003, Gardiner et al. 2004, Archaux and Martin 2009, Boothroyd-Roberts 2011, Fortier et al. 2011); production of livestock feed and nutritional supplements (McWilliam et al. 2004); bioenergy, wood, fibre, ethanol fuel and fertilizer production (Balatinecz et al. 2001, Licht and Isebrands 2005, Singh and Sharma 2007, González-García et al. 2010); production of bioproducts that have applications in medicine, health, food and cosmetics (Popova et al. 2007, Vardar-Ünlü et al. 2008), and, finally, creation of aesthetic structures that can be used for outdoor activities (Le Floch 2002). Additional details concerning these ecosystem services are given in Table 2.

In oversimplified landscapes, such as those dominated by intensive agriculture, the local allocation of habitat is far more important than in complex landscapes for increasing both biodiversity and ecosystem services (Tscharntke et al. 2005). Increasing the structural complexity of these oversimplified landscapes with poplar plantation and agroforestry may compensate for high farming intensity at a local scale (Tscharntke et al. 2005). In the St. Lawrence Valley, where intensive agriculture is mainly concentrated, eight Regional County Municipalities (MRC) have less than 20% of their forest cover remaining (Bélanger and Grenier 2002), which is far below the 30% threshold that is accepted as the minimal forest cover needed before fragmentation effects begin to occur, and impinge on biodiversity at the landscape level (Andrén 1994). Bélanger and Grenier (2002) also observed that in southern Québec forest habitat fragmentation increased along a gradient from traditional dairy farming to highly intensive cash crop agriculture.

Therefore, we consider that poplar afforestation and agroforestry should be a priority in the oversimplified landscapes of the St. Lawrence Valley, because poplars have the potential to create semi-natural forest habitats within 10 years. These poplar systems would radically increase structural complexity, which would increase local diversity, agroecosystem resilience and ecosystem services (Tscharntke et al. 2005, Chazdon 2008) (Table 2).

Poplar yields are also expected to be maximal on these highly fertile and warm-climate sites. It is well known that many cropland soils of the St. Lawrence Valley are phosphorus-saturated because of over-fertilization (MAPAQ 2005, CAAAQ 2007). The province of Québec should take advantage of this problem by establishing poplar agroforestry systems and small-scale plantations in these areas in order to phytoremediate P-saturated soils (Licht and Isebrands 2005). P-saturated soils would be ideal for poplar cultivation because most hybrid types responded strongly to P availability in agricultural soils of southern Québec (Truax et al. 2012).

### Hybrid poplar plantations on clearcut forest sites: An unproductive silvicultural approach?

Hybrid poplar plantations on clearcut forest sites that are presented here represent the exception rather than the rule, because yields are particularly low (0.5–1.4 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) (Table 1). All hybrid poplar plantations on clearcut sites in Table 1 have not yet reached the yield of natural trembling aspen (*P. tremuloides*) regeneration, which has a mean yield of 3.4 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in Québec (Dancause 2008).

Even considering all possible caveats, these low yield data should raise serious concerns because 80% of hybrid poplar plantations in Québec are established on clearcut forest sites. These plantations, although young, seem very far from being considered “short rotation woody crops” (SRWC), since a realistic mean yield of SRWCs generally falls within 10 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ to 30 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, depending on clone, site quality, region and cultivation methods (Dickmann 2006).

The low yield trend of forest sites for hybrid poplars has long been documented in other countries and should have represented a clear warning signal to Québec forest managers. On a clearcut forest site in Maine, USA (soil pH 4.9), Czapowskyj and Stafford (1993) reported stem wood biomass yields of about 28 t ha⁻¹ after 10 years in a closely spaced plantation (2500 stems per ha) with clones from the *Tamarahaca section* (M×T hybrids). This represents a volume yield of approximately 5 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, which is low considering the treatments the plantation had received: soil scarification for site preparation; brush-cutting over three years for competing vegetation control; 4480 kg ha⁻¹ of lime; 448 kg ha⁻¹ of N, 112 kg ha⁻¹ of P and 112 kg ha⁻¹ of K. According to the authors, the clearcut site yield was far from yields obtained on nearby agricultural sites, and additional inputs of lime and fertilizer would have been needed to close the yield gap between the two plantation types (Czapowskyj and Stafford 1993). Alternatively, they suggest that native hardwood species should be considered for intensive silviculture on clearcut sites since naturally regenerated unmanaged hardwood stands in Maine and New Hampshire produce yields similar to that of the best treatment in hybrid poplar plantations on forest clearcut sites (Young et al. 1979, Martin and Hornbeck 1989).

Another important study comes from France, where hybrid poplars have also been tested on forest soils outside agricultural valleys. After nine years, in a closely spaced plantation (3200 stems per ha), Gelhaye et al. (1997) conclude that hybrid poplar plantations on forest sites had a marginal yield (<5 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹), even with the most intensive fertilization treatment. They suggest that hybrid poplar coppice stands established on forest clearcut sites had no future for sustaining industrial development in France.

More recently, similar conclusions were reported for hybrid poplars after six years of growth on a clearcut site in Maine, USA (Nelson et al. 2011). These authors measured yields that were substantially lower than those reported from studies on abandoned farmlands of the region, mainly because of the harsher soil conditions of the forest site. They argued that the high proportion of similar soil conditions on forest sites of the eastern Maine region would limit large-scale establishment of poplar plantations on forest clearcut sites.

We feel that most forest sites of Québec cannot fulfill hybrid poplar nutritional requirements. For example, Bilodeau-Gauthier et al. (2011) showed that poplar DBH growth can be enhanced on boreal forest sites by using very intensive and costly site preparation methods such as mechanical mounding. However, based on our calculations this resulted in a yield under 1 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in the best treatment (mechanical mounding plus brush saw removal of competing vegetation) after five years (Table 1). This is not unexpected, considering that the plantation soil had a mean pH of 4.13.

Hybrid poplars generally require a soil pH between 5 and 7.5 for optimal growth (Stanturf et al. 2001). Outside this pH
Recreation and culture
Floodplain poplar plantations may be seen as an aesthetic and dynamic land use, while offering recreational opportunities (wildlife watching, walking) (Le Floch 2002).

Genetic resources
Propolis production from planted poplars may become a major economic activity. Because of its antimicrobial activity and antioxidant properties, poplar propolis has applications in bio-cosmetics, health food and medicine (Popova et al. 2007). Poplar-type propolis and poplar bud exudates inhibit most clinically important microorganisms (Vardar-Ünlü et al. 2008).

Raw materials and fuel
Poplar biomass (round wood, chips or pellets) can be used for heating farm buildings, greenhouses, institutions, industries, etc. (Licht and Isebrands 2005). Poplar wood and fibre is currently used for pulp and paper products and solid wood products (OSB, plywood, veneer) (Balatinecz et al. 2007). Poplar leaf litter can be used as an organic fertiliser (Singh and Sharma 2007). Poplar cellulose may be used for the production of ethanol fuel and other bio-products (González-García et al. 2010).

Nutrient cycling and pollution control
Riparian afforestation can contribute to soil C stock restoration (Mao et al. 2010). Soil respiration and biological activity can be enhanced by hybrid poplar buffer plantations (Tufekcioglu et al. 2001).

 Biological control
Hybrid poplar afforestation increases plant diversity in agriculture-dominated landscapes (Weih et al. 2001). Hybrid poplar trees can uptake, hydrolyze, and dealkylate pesticides, such as atrazine, to less toxic metabolites (Burken and Schnoor 1997).

Disturbance and water regulation
By having a similar water yield to that of natural hardwood forests, poplar plantations in agricultural landscapes can reduce peak flows, storm flows, snowmelt runoff and spring flooding in temperate regions (Perry et al. 2001). Poplar agroforestry systems (timberbelt and shelterbelt) can protect farm buildings, orchards and livestock from cold winter wind, while decreasing moisture loss during summer (Isebrands and Karnosky 2001).

Erosion control and sediment retention
A 30% cropland conversion to hybrid poplar plantations can reduce sediment export to streams by 28%. This could reduce maintenance of ditches and culverts (Updegraff et al. 2004).

Soil formation
Hybrid poplar afforestation on marginal agricultural land can contribute to soil C stock restoration (Mao et al. 2010).

Carbon sequestration
Afforestation of agricultural land (including abandoned farmland) with hybrid poplar increases C sequestration in biomass and in soils, depending on rotation length (Zabek and Prescott 2006, Fang et al. 2007, Arevalo et al. 2011).

Table 2. Ecosystem services (including goods) that may be provided or restored following poplar afforestation of farmland and abandoned farmland in temperate ecosystems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecosystem services</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disturbance and water regulation</td>
<td>By having a similar water yield to that of natural hardwood forests, poplar plantations in agricultural landscapes can reduce peak flows, storm flows, snowmelt runoff and spring flooding in temperate regions (Perry et al. 2001). Poplar agroforestry systems (timberbelt and shelterbelt) can protect farm buildings, orchards and livestock from cold winter wind, while decreasing moisture loss during summer (Isebrands and Karnosky 2001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion control and sediment retention</td>
<td>A 30% cropland conversion to hybrid poplar plantations can reduce sediment export to streams by 28%. This could reduce maintenance of ditches and culverts (Updegraff et al. 2004). Riparian forest buffers composed of hybrid poplars can reduce stream bank erosion rate compared to row crops and grazed pastures (Zaires et al. 2004). Hybrid poplar agroforestry systems (timberbelt and shelterbelt) can reduce soil loss through wind erosion (Isebrands and Karnosky 2001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil formation</td>
<td>Hybrid poplar afforestation on marginal agricultural land can contribute to soil C stock restoration (Mao et al. 2010). Soil respiration and biological activity can be enhanced by hybrid poplar buffer plantations (Tufekcioglu et al. 2001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient cycling and pollution control</td>
<td>Riparian afforestation with poplars can increase long-term N and P storage in above- and below-ground biomass, compared to herbaceous vegetation (Tufekcioglu et al. 2004, Kelly et al. 2007, Fortier et al. 2010b). Tannins and other phenolic compounds contained in poplar leaves and roots can increase N immobilisation in riparian soils (Schimmel et al. 1998, Schweitzer et al. 2008), and eventually reduce N loss to stream water. Poplar-vegetated riparian zones may increase groundwater N retention during winter months compared to grass-vegetated riparian zones (Haycock and Pinay 1993). A 30% cropland conversion to hybrid poplar plantations can reduce N loads to streams by 15% (Updegraff et al. 2004). Poplar rhizosphere enhances viability of beneficial micro-organisms important for natural bioremediation processes (Jordahl et al. 1997). Hybrid poplar trees can uptake, hydrolyze, and dealkylate pesticides, such as atrazine, to less toxic metabolites (Burken and Schnoor 1997).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological control</td>
<td>Hybrid poplar afforestation in riparian areas can create an unfavourable habitat for shade-intolerant exotic plant species without affecting native species (Fortier et al. 2011).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refugia</td>
<td>When poplars are not planted at the expense of areas of high conservation value, they may increase overall diversity of bird communities within farmland habitats (Archaux and Martin 2009). Poplar plantations provide equivalent or greater habitat for native birds and mammals, compared to croplands (Christian et al. 1997). Poplar afforestation can contribute to forest restoration by providing habitats for shade-tolerant hardwoods and coniferous species (Lust et al. 2001, Boothroyd-Roberts 2011). Hybrid poplar plantation can create favourable understory conditions for planting high-value non-timber forest species (wild ginseng, bloodroot, white trillium) instead of harvesting from wild populations (Boothroyd-Roberts 2011). Valuable hardwoods such as oaks can also be underplanted in poplar plantations (Gardiner et al. 2004). Hybrid poplar afforestation increases plant diversity in agriculture-dominated landscapes (Weih et al. 2003).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock production</td>
<td>Poplar branches and foliage can be harvested, creating an inexpensive feed for livestock in times of drought (McWilliam et al. 2004). The use of poplar cuttings as supplements in the diet of ewes can increase reproduction rate (McWilliam et al. 2004).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw materials and fuel</td>
<td>Poplar biomass (round wood, chips or pellets) can be used for heating farm buildings, greenhouses, institutions, industries, etc. (Licht and Isebrands 2005). Poplar wood and fibre is currently used for pulp and paper products and solid wood products (OSB, plywood, veneer) (Balatinecz et al. 2001). Poplar leaf litter can be used as an organic fertiliser (Singh and Sharma 2007). Poplar cellulose may be used for the production of ethanol fuel and other bio-products (González-García et al. 2010).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic resources</td>
<td>Propolis production from planted poplars may become a major economic activity. Because of its antimicrobial activity and antioxidant properties, poplar propolis has applications in bio-cosmetics, health food and medicine (Popova et al. 2007). Poplar-type propolis and poplar bud exudates inhibit most clinically important microorganisms (Vardar-Ünlü et al. 2008).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation and culture</td>
<td>Floodplain poplar plantations may be seen as an aesthetic and dynamic land use, while offering recreational opportunities (wildlife watching, walking) (Le Floch 2002).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
range, essential nutrients that are highly correlated to the yield of different clone parentages (P, N and Ca) (Fortier et al. 2010a, Truax et al. 2012) become much less available. As shown by Timmer (1985), acidifying the soil to pH 4.1 greatly depresses hybrid poplar growth and foliar uptake of essential nutrients. Low poplar growth has also been observed on acidic forest sites of southern Québec (Gould and St-Hilaire-de-Dorset in Table 1) (Labrecque 2009).

Even when large quantities of lime (2000–4000 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) are added to forest soils of southern Québec (pH ranging from 4.7 to 5), it seems that hybrid poplars remained in a state of nutritional imbalance (Bona et al. 2008). Lime addition may increase foliar Ca concentrations, but not necessarily leaf N and P concentrations (Bona et al. 2008). With foliar N and P concentrations ranging between 1.18% and 1.21% and 0.11% to 0.18%, respectively, after lime addition (Bona et al. 2008), these forest-grown hybrid poplars were far from meeting poplar nutritional requirements: foliar N between 2.5% and 3% (depending on clone parentage) and foliar P around 0.33% (Hanson 1994).

Given all of these facts, we have good reason to be sceptical when Bilodeau-Gauthier et al. (2011) suggest that even in the harsh conditions of the boreal forest, the production of a large volume of wood is possible within 20 years with hybrid poplars. As we have shown, the unfavourable soil conditions combined with the harsher climate of most forest sites will be inappropriate to fulfill the high nutritional needs, even of the most cold-hardy hybrid poplar clones. Fertilization may be used on forest sites or on low-quality abandoned farmland sites, but it will increase already high plantation costs, as well as environmental impacts (Lteif et al. 2007), with no guaranty of a high yield increase (DesRochers et al. 2006, Guillemette and DesRochers 2008, Bilodeau-Gauthier et al. 2011).

Besides the low yield of hybrid poplar plantations on forest sites, other concerns exist. Poplar growth tends to be very heterogeneous on forest sites (J. Fortier, personal observation), which greatly decreases the uniformity of log size at harvest. Also, higher-quality forests may not be easily and precisely located with available GIS technology (Pinno et al. 2009, Bigué et al. 2011), which further jeopardizes the success of hybrid poplar cultivation on forest sites in Québec.

In addition, P. maximowiczii hybrids are mainly planted in southern and northern forest sites of Québec because they seem more adapted than other hybrid types (Périnet 2007). However, the results of Truax et al. (2012) are clear: the yields of N×M, DN×M and M×B hybrids are highly correlated to soil P availability, and to elevation to a lesser extent. Therefore, acidic soils of the boreal shield ecozone are likely to be too limited in P and in growing degree days to sustain high yields.

Balsam poplar clones (M×B hybrids) tend to have lower wood density than T×D and D×N hybrids (Pliura et al. 2007), which are mainly planted on agricultural soils. The wide crown and forking habit of some balsam poplar clones, combined with their lower stem wood density, can lead to crown breakage (J. Fortier and B. Truax personal observation). Therefore, for some clones related to the Tacamahaca section, wind, snow and ice damage might become a problem over the years, particularly if the frequency and severity of extreme climate events increases with ongoing global changes. This may explain why P. deltoides and D×N hybrids are now favoured over balsam poplar hybrids for shelterbelts in northern North American Prairies (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008). On low-quality sites, longer rotation length will also be needed to produce harvestable trees. This will increase the probability of occurrence of hazardous climatic events and pest outbreaks in poplar plantations.

Finally, it is important to highlight that forest conversion to “exotic” poplar plantations has numerous negative environmental impacts. In general, a net loss of soil C is associated with site preparation, and this C loss increases with the degree of disturbance (Schmidt et al. 1996, Jandl et al. 2007). Consequently, the intensive site preparation method (mechanical mounding and scarification) used on clearcut forest sites prior to hybrid poplar establishment can lead to significant soil C losses. Liming, another treatment used on acidic forest soils (Czapowskyj and Safford 1993, Bona et al. 2008), generally causes a net loss of soil C in both temperate and boreal forest ecosystems due to increased microbial activity (Jandl et al. 2007).

In addition, conversion of natural forests to plantations is detrimental to biodiversity, particularly when short-rotation exotic plantations replace forest complexity and diversity (Hartley 2002, Brockerhoff et al. 2008). As reviewed by Thompson et al. (2003), this type of conversion always results in large impacts on wildlife communities. This contrasts sharply with afforestation of agricultural, long-deforested landscapes, which is known to assist conservation by providing complementary forest habitat, buffering edge effects, and increasing connectivity (Brockerhoff et al. 2008).

It is possible that the richest forest sites may need to be converted to poplar plantation to achieve economically viable yields. However, this strategy may worsen the adverse effects of forest conversion to poplar plantations since site fertility is generally positively correlated to animal biodiversity in forest ecosystems (Thompson et al. 2003). This potential problem will not occur in Québec agricultural landscapes since most fertile sites are biodiversity-poor because of agricultural activities. Finally, for some certification organisations such as the Forestry Stewardship Council (FSC), important limitations exist concerning forest conversion to exotic plantations (FSC 2004).

Ultimately, to really appreciate the net gain of productivity of plantation establishment following forest conversion, it is important to subtract the yield of the natural forest that has been replaced from the yield of the new plantations. With that in mind, it seems that forest clearcut site poplar plantations will not produce important net wood volume increases.

**Implications for a sustainable forest zoning system**

Recently, forest zoning has been proposed for application at the regional or management unit scale only in the public forests of Québec, with no possible application in the southern belt of Québec where urban development, farmland and privately owned forests dominate the landscape (Messier et al. 2009, Hartmann et al. 2010). However, we feel that applying forest zoning at a regional or management unit scale is based on the erroneous premise that all forested regions or management units of Québec possess sufficient areas of productive sites to create a functional intensive management zone that will compensate, with higher yields, the productivity losses needed to accommodate ecosystem management and conservation.
In some forest ecozones (boreal shield, boreal clay belt), low soil fertility, inappropriate soil texture and/or unfavourable climate make it difficult to achieve high-yield poplar plantations (Bigué et al. 2011, Bilodeau-Gauthier et al. 2011, Benomar et al. 2012) (Table 1). Consequently, in those ecozones, much larger areas under intensive management will be required to achieve the same wood volume per area that could be produced in southern agricultural zones (riparian buffers, abandoned farmland, and farmland).

The afforestation and forest conversion scenarios presented in Table 3 illustrate the effect of plantation yield on land area dedicated to plantation forestry under a forest zoning management approach. In Table 3, the afforestation scenario is based on 5% poplar afforestation on the total cultivated land area of Québec (1 930 000 ha) (Statistique Canada 2008), 25% poplar afforestation on the total abandoned farmland area in southern Québec (85 000 ha) (Vouligny and Gariépy 2008) and the installation of 4.5-m-wide poplar buffers on both sides of 25% of the total length of degraded streams (including large ditches) in agricultural areas (40 000 km) (Beaulieu 2001). In this scenario, an estimated 2 million m³ year⁻¹ could be produced with hybrid poplar plantations and agroforestry, on only 126 750 ha of agricultural land. In counterpart, it would potentially require between 416 250 ha and 594 642 ha to produce the same wood volume with hybrid poplar plantations established on clearcut forest sites (Table 3).

Because the main objective of the zoning approach is to minimize the negative environmental impacts of forestry while maintaining timber supply (Côté et al. 2010), it seems paradoxical to convert large areas of natural forests, at the expense of biodiversity and C sequestration, into low-yielding plantations to make the forest zoning concept operational in northern forest ecosystems. Hybrid poplar cultivation is possible on colder sites, but those should be located in agricultural landscapes such as in southern and central Sweden (Christersson et al. 2010). On those sites, the potential of hybrid trembling aspens (section Populus, formerly Leuce) need to be tested given their very high yield in Sweden (Christersson 2010).

Lower yields in forest site poplar plantations will also result in much longer rotations, which will greatly increase the time period in which a poplar plantation is vulnerable to insect pests and physical damage, but also to disease, which is “coping with the inevitable” (Mattson et al. 2001). More than 10 years ago doubts were raised about the feasibility of intensive forestry plantations on public lands in northern Ontario (Lautenschlager 2000). We share these doubts, but we are also concerned about the general economic profitability of hybrid poplar systems outside of moderate- to high-fertility agricultural zones.

Applying forest zoning at the provincial scale would be a more effective management option than a regional application of the concept. By locating poplar plantations in the most intensive farming landscapes of southern Québec (e.g., Bromptonville, Bedford and Lavaltrie sites in Table 1), large wood volumes and many ecosystem services could be provided simultaneously (Table 2). With high yields generated outside of forests in southern Québec, large northern areas could therefore be dedicated to conservation and ecosystem management forestry. This strategy would avoid the negative impacts on climate and biodiversity that are associated with natural forest conversion to plantations.

New afforested plantations and agroforestry systems should ideally be designed and managed to increase landscape connectivity, agroecosystem resilience and economic profitability to farmers (Licht and Isebrands 2005, Brockerhoff et al. 2008). However, although poplar systems have been considered for many years as sustainable components of agroecosystems (Licht 1992), many political, economic and social hurdles remain in the Québec agricultural context.

First, hybrid poplars managed on longer rotations (five to 20 years) need to be considered as a crop in Québec. For

Table 3. Wood production and plantation costs obtained from a realistic hybrid poplar afforestation scenario in agricultural areas of Québec province. For comparison purposes, the land area required of clearcut site plantations that would be needed to achieve equivalent wood production were calculated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plantation sites</th>
<th>Total land area (ha) or stream length (km)</th>
<th>Afforestation scenario</th>
<th>Plantation land area (ha)</th>
<th>Mean yield (m³ha⁻¹ year⁻¹)</th>
<th>Wood production (m³year⁻¹)</th>
<th>Plantation costs ($ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultivated land</td>
<td>1 930 000 ha⁻¹</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>96 500</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1 688 750</td>
<td>3 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoned farmland</td>
<td>85 000 ha⁻¹</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>21 250</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>212 500</td>
<td>4 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degraded small streams</td>
<td>40 000 km⁻¹</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>9 000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>180 000</td>
<td>3 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total agricultural land</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>126 750</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 081 250</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good-quality clearcut forest</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>416 250</td>
<td>5e</td>
<td>2 081 250</td>
<td>5 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average-quality clearcut forest</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>594 642</td>
<td>3.5f</td>
<td>2 081 250</td>
<td>5 500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aArea of cultivated land obtained from Statistique Canada (2008).
bArea of abandoned farmland of southern Québec calculated from the data of Vouligny and Gariépy (2008).
cDegraded streams include 30 000 km of degraded streams in agricultural areas and 10 000 km of large drainage ditches (Beaulieu 2001).
dThis afforestation scenario is based on the establishment of 4.5-m-wide riparian buffers, as described in Fortier et al. (2010a), on both stream banks of 25% of 40 000 km of degraded streams.
eMean yield of clearcut plantation is based on an average yield of 7.5 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ from which we subtracted the yield of natural broadleafed forests (2.5 m³ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) that have been removed through forest conversion to plantations.
fMean yield of clearcut plantation is based on an average yield of 5 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ from which we subtracted the yield of natural broadleafed forests (1.5 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) that have been removed through forest conversion to plantations.
gPlantation costs on cultivated lands are those of Yemshanov and McKenney (2008) and plantation costs on abandoned farmland and clearcut forest sites are those of Dancouse (2008).
example, in British Columbia hybrid poplars are considered a specialty woody crop, whether the objective is to produce pulp on short rotations, solid wood products on longer rotations, or to improve environmental quality (riparian buffer, reclaimed water use, bioengineering) (BC Ministry of Agriculture Food and Fisheries 2004). By giving hybrid poplars a “crop status”, farmers will have the opportunity to obtain planting stock and subsidies for purposes other than biomass, which is currently impossible on Québec prime agricultural land. With such a status, cost-efficient weed control tools such as herbicides will be permitted as they are in short-rotation coppice systems.

In temperate ecosystems, riparian forests are keystone watershed elements for both biodiversity and water quality protection (Gregory et al. 1991, Naiman et al. 2005, Dosskey et al. 2010). Afforestation projects in riparian zones would help in recovering some ecological functions and ecosystem services associated with natural riparian forests (Table 2), without causing important increases in N2O emissions at the regional level because of increased denitrification (Lal et al. 2011). Financial incentives should encourage the establishment of wide woody riparian buffers (10 m wide or more) given their multi-functionality, but also their very high yields (Schultz et al. 2004; Fortier et al. 2010a,b, 2011). These wide buffers are particularly needed on sloping, fine-textured soils and alley cropping sites, to obtain satisfactory non-point source pollution abatement (Dosskey et al. 2008). At the moment, regulations only restrict some agricultural activities (fertilization, pesticide application) within a 1-m- to 3-m-wide streamside zone, depending on stream width (Québec 2011b,c), which on many sites is clearly insufficient to improve water quality (Dosskey et al. 2008). Financial incentives are particularly needed to overcome the costs of poplar buffer installation and maintenance and, more importantly, the loss of cultivated land revenue. This is a major issue that can be resolved by giving crop insurance to any individual who converts part of a crop field near a stream edge into a hybrid poplar riparian buffer.

Also, municipal regulations concerning tree harvesting in riparian zones need to be adjusted to allow the harvest of poplars that were especially planted for wood production and nutrient storage/removal in agroecosystems. Trees, and particularly poplars, are fast-growing nutrient sinks in agroecosystems (Kelly et al. 2007, Fortier et al. 2010b). Harvest of these trees results in a large nutrient (N and P) exportations from local agroecosystems (Fortier et al. 2010b). A phosphorus credit program that pays for every kg of P exported from P-saturated areas might encourage farmers to adopt best management practices and reduce P transport into streams. Cyanobacterial blooms in many lakes and rivers of agricultural watersheds of southern Québec have been reported (Francoeur 2006), a phenomenon closely linked to the transport of surplus P of agricultural origin and its contamination of streams, rivers and lakes (Giani et al. 2005). Furthermore, since water quality and aquatic ecosystem quality are correlated to forest cover at both watershed and riparian levels (Allan 2004, Sweeney et al. 2004, Stephenson and Morin 2009), increasing global forest cover with both upland and riparian hybrid poplar plantation systems in landscapes with low forest cover may also improve water quality and aquatic habitats.

Additionally, production of biomass with hybrid poplar agroforestry in the riparian zone of fertilized farmland is likely to be a more sustainable alternative to the harvesting of residual natural forest biomass (Fortier et al. 2010a), which may decrease long-term forest site fertility and carbon sequestration (Hendrickson et al. 1989, Johnson and Curtis 2001). This approach would take advantage of the nutrient surplus that already exists in many agricultural areas of southern Québec (MAPAQ 2005, CAAAQ 2007) and it would produce woody biomass close to several end users (e.g., farm buildings, greenhouses, houses, institutions, industries, US market).

In highly fragmented landscapes such as those of the St. Lawrence Valley (Bélanger and Grenier 2002), plantations, riparian buffers, corridors and shelterbelts could rapidly create forested networks that would increase landscape complexity and the quality and diversity of ecosystem services (Table 2). The goal is certainly not to make a widespread conversion of high-quality agricultural land to poplar plantations, but to use plantations and agroforestry systems judiciously where cumulative benefits would be the highest (Bentrup 2008). A more realistic objective would be to use hybrid poplars to rapidly increase forest cover to near the 30% minimum threshold for biodiversity protection in landscapes with low forest cover.

In short, an important reform of subsidies is needed within the forestry and agricultural sectors in order to include payment for ecosystem services provided by private owners of afforested plantations and agroforestry systems because these services are currently unaccounted for (externalities) (Bull et al. 2006).

An alternative approach to hybrid poplar cultivation on forest sites could be intensive management of short-rotation native hardwood regeneration with species such as trembling aspen and balsam poplar. The economic potential of this approach should be investigated more fully even if poplar plantations are generally more productive (Jones and Grant 1983). In the temperate zone of Québec and in the northern United States, yields close to 10 m3 ha−1 year−1 have been reported in aspen and balsam poplar stands after 40 to 50 years (Alban et al. 1978, Doucet 2000). High yields can still be reached with natural aspen regeneration on much shorter rotations (10 to 15 years) (Stiell and Berry 1986).

Regeneration silviculture of trembling aspen is also very economical because in many cases clearcutting alone will lead to satisfactory restocking (Doucet 1989). Occasionally, special treatments are needed, but those can be applied relatively easily (Doucet 1989). Therefore, compared with silvicultural costs of approximately $5500 per ha for clearcut site hybrid poplar plantations (Dancoue 2008), intensive trembling aspen silviculture will surely be much more economical and less detrimental to the environment. Native trembling aspen stands will also produce higher-quality fibre than hybrid poplar plantations because of the higher wood density of trembling aspen (Koubaa 2007).

If forest areas are to be converted to plantations, hybrid poplars are not the right choice. Native species such as red pine may be more economically sound, because red pine grows much faster than hybrid poplars on low-quality sites (Table 4). Many other species also have an equivalent yield to hybrid poplars on low-quality sites, but on longer rotations (Table 4).
Many have been seduced by the socially acceptable concept of mixed intensive plantations, an alternative approach to mono-specific plantations of exotic poplars or larches (Larix spp.) (Messier et al. 2009, Paquette and Messier 2010). However, after only nine years, afforested poplar plantations can have significant hardwood and conifer regeneration (ashes [Fraxinus spp.], white pine [Pinus strobus L.], sugar maple [Acer saccharum Marsh.], yellow birch [Betula alleghaniensis Britt.]), with hundreds of seedlings or saplings per hectare being reported in southern Québec (Boothroyd-Roberts 2011). These poplar plantations are far from being biological deserts or monocultures, indeed they are forest succession catalysts (Parrotta et al. 1997). In addition, some have argued that mixing hybrid poplars or exotic larches with spruces would optimize plantation yield, based on results obtained in tropical mixed plantations and theoretical models in herbaceous communities (Messier et al. 2009, Paquette and Messier 2010). However, empirical evidence from Nelson et al. (2011) shows the opposite. These authors found in the “mixture” treatment, a nonlinear exponential decay relationship ($R^2 = 0.68$) between white spruce (Picea glauca [Moench] Voss) and hybrid poplar biomass index, showing no evidence of over-yielding in the mixed plantation. With both poplar and spruce having high light requirements to achieve high yield, the much faster growth rate of poplars resulted in a single-species dominance (Nelson et al. 2011). They concluded that spruce and poplar should be planted in a mosaic of pure large blocks, rather than an even dispersion of both species at the stand scale, in order to minimize pre-crown closure interaction.

So why is there the necessity to mimic forest succession by mixing poplars with hardwoods or conifers immediately at planting? This way of proceeding increases tending costs and operational constraints (Nelson et al. 2011, J. Moreau, personal communication). Later underplanting of shade-tolerant species, before or after partial canopy removal in poplar systems (Truax et al. 2000, Gardiner et al. 2004), might be a more cost-efficient and ecologically sound restoration or production strategy. It is well known that poplars often grow in pure stands following a disturbance (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2008), so why would pure poplar plantations be so objectionable?

Finally, from an environmental perspective, practising a “soft intensive” silviculture in natural forests rather than highly intensive forest conversion to poplar plantations may be important to maintain the role of Canada’s forests in climate regulation (Carlson et al. 2010). Also along these lines, afforestation and agroforestry both have a central role to play in increasing C storage in degraded/transformed temperate terrestrial ecosystems and riparian ecotones (Oelbermann et al. 2004, Niu and Duiker 2006, Fortier et al. 2010b).

### Does Québec Really Need to Adopt a Forest Zoning Approach?

Based on the recent allowable cut, allocation and harvesting statistics (MRNF 2001–2010), the need to adopt a forest zoning management approach on public land is also questionable (Fig. 2). Although there was an obvious over-exploitation of many forest ecosystems a few years ago (Coulombe et al. 2004), this situation does not hold anymore in many parts of the province.

Since 2005, much lower quantities of wood are being harvested each year compared to the wood volumes that are allocated to industry in public forests. In 2008–2009, only 60% of

---

**Table 4. Volume yield ($m^3ha^{-1}yr^{-1}$) for various species in relation to site quality in southern and central Québec. Data from Dancause (2008).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Plantation age (years)</th>
<th>High ($m^3ha^{-1}year^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Moderate ($m^3ha^{-1}year^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Low ($m^3ha^{-1}year^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hybrid poplar</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15–25</td>
<td>8–12</td>
<td>2–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>red pine</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway spruce</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European larch</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white spruce</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jack pine</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white pine</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** Evolution of allowable cut, wood volume allocation and wood volume harvested in public forests of Québec during the 2000–2009 period (MRNF 2001–2010).
wood volumes were allocated were actually harvested (Fig. 2). Consequently, forest “capital” is increasing in many regions of the Québec public forest. This is particularly true in many regions for soft hardwoods (aspen and white birch) that are used in sawlog, peeled, and panel industries (Table 5). White birch is clearly an under-exploited resource given that only 30% of total allowable cut for this species was allocated in 2011 (MRNF 2011)(Table 5) and that harvested volumes might be even lower (see Fig. 1). In regions where poplar is less available, forest industry should take advantage of this abundant white birch resource.

We also feel that allowable cut for native poplars may increase in the future because many coniferous stands have naturally regenerated to trembling aspen following clearcutting or natural disturbances. Pre-colonial broadleaved tree abundance was 20% in different landscapes of the Québec / Ontario clay belt region of the boreal forest, while abundance was as high as 70% a decade ago (Drapeau et al. 2000). The increase of harvesting pressure on public forests during the 2000–2005 period (Fig. 2) may result in an eventual increase in trembling aspen and paper birch abundance, particularly on till and clay deposits of the southern boreal forest. On those deposits, early successional stands are dominated by trembling aspen and intermediate successional stands are dominated by paper birch and coniferous species (Bergeron and Dubuc 1988).

Some may question, if there is an economic recovery, where are we going to take the wood? We suggest that it could be (1) by harvesting the wood surplus that already exists (Fig. 2, Table 5), (2) by implementing hybrid poplar afforestation and agroforestry on prime agricultural land and fertile abandoned farmland (see Table 3), and (3) by increasing intensive management of early-successional species (native poplars, white birch) in both southern and northern natural forest ecosystems.

So, do we really need zones of intensive fibre production in northern ecosystems to sustain timber production in order to increase protected areas and implement ecosystem management? In boreal ecosystems, intensive forest management may be more a tool to achieve ecosystem management objectives (Bergeron 2010), than a tool to implement a forest zoning approach.

A small-scale application of the forest zoning management approach in productive southern Québec ecosystems might, however, be needed to sustain local forest-related economic activity, and increase forest conservation zones in regions of high population density (Truax and Gagnon 2012). With the increasing numbers of urban inhabitants who decide to retire or reside in rural areas of southern Québec (CAAAQ 2007), and the ongoing intensification of agriculture in fertile areas (Pan et al. 1999), conflicts between forest users, urban developers and farmers will increase in the future. These conflicts could be partly resolved by promoting a zoning management approach on private lands, with most fast-growing hybrid poplar plantations (intensive wood production) located in areas of intensive agricultural activity. These areas are generally located in landscapes with very low remaining natural forest cover (Bélanger and Grenier 2002).

### Table 5. Allowable cut (m³ year⁻¹) and wood volume allocated (m³ year⁻¹) in public forests for poplars and white birch in the different Québec regions (MRNF 2011). The percentage (%) of wood volume from allowable cut that is allocated is also indicated for each region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quebec regions</th>
<th>Poplars (all species) (m³ year⁻¹)</th>
<th>White birch (m³ year⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allowable cut</td>
<td>Allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 – Bas-St-Laurent</td>
<td>90 300</td>
<td>88 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 – Saguenay-Lac-St-Jean</td>
<td>503 800</td>
<td>463 800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 – Capitale-Nationale / Chaudière-Appalaches</td>
<td>68 000</td>
<td>52 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 – Mauricie / Centre-du-Québec</td>
<td>536 600</td>
<td>321 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 – Outaouais</td>
<td>262 200</td>
<td>227 439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 – Abitibi-Témiscamingue</td>
<td>493 900</td>
<td>347 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 – Côte-Nord</td>
<td>184 000</td>
<td>72 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – Nord-du-Québec</td>
<td>408 300</td>
<td>252 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 – Gaspésie / Îles-de-la-Madeleine</td>
<td>130 000</td>
<td>94 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 – Montréal / Montérégie / Laval / Laurentides / Lanaudière / Estrie</td>
<td>225 000</td>
<td>147 925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total                               | 2 902 100     | 2 066 714 | 835 386    | 71  | 402 2400      | 1 218 200  | 2 804 200  | 30  |
ation silviculture (e.g., aspen, balsam poplar, white birch, red maple [Acer rubrum L.] on short rotations may be used to increase forest productivity in many forest ecosystems.

In the end, decision-makers, landowners and farmers must recognize that a certain level of land-use change from abandoned farmland or crop land to hybrid poplar plantations is in their best interest in the long term (Tabbush and Beaton 1998). However, for this scenario to be realized, financial incentives (e.g., carbon credits, crop insurance, P credits, subsidies for planting and tending) are needed, as well as concrete legislative measures to remove the current roadblocks preventing poplar woody crops from successfully co-inhabiting agricultural landscapes with conventional crops or pastures.
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